



# INSIDE INFORMATION INTERPRETED BY THE COURTS

By Shirley Fordor, Director, Cari Cole-Morgan, Director and Armand Swart, Candidate Attorney

## LEGAL BRIEF FEBRUARY 2016

Matters relating to insider trading do not often come before the South African courts as the Enforcement Committee of the Financial Services Board ("FSB") routinely investigates and deals with such matters.

### INTRODUCTION

The matter of *Zietsman and Another v Directorate of Market Abuse and Another 2016 (1) SA 218 (GP)* involved an appeal to the High Court against a finding of the FSB and is one of a very few reported judgments in South Africa dealing with insider trading. Although, in this matter, the charges of insider trading were brought under the Securities Services Act 36 of 2004 ("SSA"), which has since been repealed by the Financial Markets Act 19 of 2012, this judgment remains relevant as the definition of "inside information" and the offence of "insider trading" are identical in both Acts.

The pertinent facts in this matter were that Mr Zietsman and his company, Harrison and White Investments ("Appellants") embarked on a strategy of acquiring a controlling interest in Africa Cellular Towers Limited ("Listco"), a company listed on the alternative exchange of the JSE. A due diligence investigation and valuation of the business of Listco were undertaken, during the course of which the Appellants came into possession of information that Listco had secured a loan from the Industrial Development Corporation to the amount of R99 million, subject to the finalisation of the agreements relating to such loan ("Relevant Information"). Certain Listco shares were acquired by the Appellants at a time when it was public

knowledge (as a result of a SENS announcement) that Listco had secured a loan, but neither (i) the identity of the lender, nor (ii) the amount of such loan had been disclosed to the public. After the shares were acquired by the Appellants, the details of the loan were announced on SENS and the share price of Listco shares increased dramatically.

The question before the court was whether –

- > the Relevant Information constituted "inside information" which was defined in the SSA as follows – "specific or precise information, which has not been made public and which –
  - (a) is obtained or learned as an insider; and
  - (b) if it were made public would be likely to have a material effect on the price or value of any security listed on a regulated market."
- > the acquisition by the Appellants of the Listco shares contravened the insider trading provisions of the SSA, which prohibit a person who knows that he or she has inside information from dealing in the listed securities to which the inside information relates or which are likely to be affected by it.

By way of defence, the Appellants contended that the Relevant Information did not constitute "inside information" as the Relevant Information was, amongst other things-

- > not "specific or precise" information as the loan was merely approved in principle, no loan agreement had been concluded in writing, there were conditions precedent to the loan and there was uncertainty whether Listco would ultimately be able to access the funds; and
- > not "likely to have a material effect" on the price or value of Listco's shares.

The Appellants consequently did not believe or "know" that they had inside information as contemplated in the SSA and accordingly did not contravene the insider trading provisions of the SSA.

## THE COURT'S DECISION

After reviewing the law on insider trading in Europe and the United Kingdom, the court rejected the Appellants' contentions and held that-

- > a circumstance or event need not be in final form in order for the information relating to such circumstance or event to qualify as "specific and precise". Information relating to circumstances or an event in an intermediate phase could still be specific and precise and therefore constitute inside information;
- > whether information is price sensitive is determined with reference to the reasonable investor and whether he would regard the information as relevant to a decision to deal in such securities; and
- > a genuine and bona fide belief that known information is not inside information will not constitute a defence if such belief is not based on reasonable grounds.

## CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the court held there was no basis for setting aside the FSB's finding that the Appellants were guilty of insider trading. In determining an appropriate administrative sanction, the court was of the view that it was irrelevant that the Appellants had made no actual profit from the insider trading, but ultimately suffered a loss (they did not sell their shares following the share spike and Listco was subsequently placed in liquidation). The penalty of R1 million that was imposed by the FSB was informed by (but not equal to) the potential profit the Appellants could have made from the insider trading and the court found there was no basis to set aside that penalty.

Legal notice: Nothing in this publication should be construed as legal advice from any lawyer or this firm. Readers are advised to consult professional legal advisors for guidance on legislation which may affect their businesses.

© 2016 Werksmans Incorporated trading as Werksmans Attorneys. All rights reserved.

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS



SHIRLEY  
FODOR

Title:	Director
Office:	Johannesburg
Direct line:	+27 (0)11 535 8316
Email:	sfodor@werksmans.com

Shirley Fodor is a director in Werksmans' commercial and knowledge management practice areas. She specialises in commercial law, mining law and mining health and safety. She focuses on commercial law and assists with the management of knowledge in the firm. Her skills have been honed by combining practical experience with ongoing study.

In addition to a BCom LLB, Shirley has a Master's Degree (cum laude) in public international law from the Rand Afrikaans University.

Shirley's thesis has been incorporated into the Human Right's Commission research into land redistribution.




CARI COLE-  
MORGAN

Title:	Director
Office:	Johannesburg
Direct line:	+27 (0)11 535 8316
Email:	sfodor@werksmans.com

Cari Cole-Morgan has been a director at Werksmans since 2013 and heads up the Knowledge Management team. Previously, she was a director of the firm in the corporate/mergers and acquisitions practice area from 2000 until 2006.

Cari has a BA LLB from the University of Cape Town.



ARMAND  
SWART

Title: Candidate Attorney  
Office: Stellenbosch  
Direct line: +27 (0)21 405 5133  
Email: [aswart@werksmans.com](mailto:aswart@werksmans.com)

Armand Swart is a second year candidate attorney. He practices in commercial law, including mergers and acquisitions, general commercial transactions and agricultural commercial matters. His previous experience includes media, construction and commercial litigation.

Armand completed a BA (cum laude), BA (Hons) (cum laude) and an LLB (magna cum laude) at Rhodes University. He spent a semester abroad at the University of Leicester in his Honours year.

## > Keep us close

The Corporate & Commercial Law Firm  
[www.werksmans.com](http://www.werksmans.com)

*A member of the LEX Africa Alliance*

## ABOUT WERKSMANS ATTORNEYS

Established in the early 1900s, Werksmans Attorneys is a leading South African corporate and commercial law firm, serving multinationals, listed companies, financial institutions, entrepreneurs and government.

Operating in Gauteng and the Western Cape, the firm is connected to an extensive African legal alliance through LEX Africa.

LEX Africa was established in 1993 as the first and largest African legal alliance and offers huge potential for Werksmans' clients seeking to do business on the continent by providing a gateway to Africa.

With a formidable track record in mergers and acquisitions, banking and finance, and commercial litigation and dispute resolution, Werksmans is distinguished by the people, clients and work that it attracts and retains.

Werksmans' more than 200 lawyers are a powerful team of independent-minded individuals who share a common service ethos. The firm's success is built on a solid foundation of insightful and innovative deal structuring and legal advice, a keen ability to understand business and economic imperatives and a strong focus on achieving the best legal outcome for clients.

